



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,985	09/18/2007	Tsafrir Ben-Ari	26/846	3003
44596 7590 12/14/2010 DR. MARK M. FRIEDMAN C/O BILL POLKINGHORN - DISCOVERY DISPATCH 9003 FLORIN WAY UPPER MARLBORO, MD 20772				
EXAMINER				
PREVIL, DANIEL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2612				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/14/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark_f@friedpat.com
nomi_m@friedpat.com
friedpat.uspto@gmail.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/598,985

Applicant(s)

BEN-ARI, TSAFRIR

Examiner

DANIEL PREVIL

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CD)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on 10/14/10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matos (US 2003/0130770).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 12, 17, Matos discloses a method for providing on-demand audio information to a pilot of a first aircraft during aerial combat engagement with a hostile aircraft (abstract), the method comprising: (a) receiving a pilot-initiated request for information (fig. 9; page 1, [0015]); (b) identifying the pilot-initiated request as either a first request-type or a second request-type (fig. 9; page 1, [0015]); (c) if the pilot-initiated request is identified as the first request-type, retrieving from at least one system of the first aircraft output information relating current flight parameters of the first aircraft (fig. 9; page 1, [0015]; page 4, [0147]); (d) if the pilot-initiated request is identified as the second request-type, retrieving from at least one system of the first aircraft output information relating to current flight parameters of the hostile aircraft (fig. 9; page 1,

[0017-0020]; page 4, [0147]); generating a verbal message audible to the pilot containing said output information (page 10, [0280-0282]).

Regarding claims 2, 13, 18, Matos discloses wherein the pilot-initiated request is provided by the pilot operating a finger-operated control (page 10, [0281]).

Regarding claims 3, 14, 19, Matos discloses wherein said finger-operated control is located to be operable from a normal hand position while operating at least one of a hand-held flight control and a hand-held weapon control (page 10, [0281]).

Regarding claim 4, Matos discloses wherein the pilot-initiated request is identified as a first request-type or a second request-type according to which of a plurality of said finger-operated controls is operated by the pilot (page 10, [0281]).

Regarding claims 6-7, 15-16, Matos discloses wherein the information relating to current flight parameters of the first aircraft includes at least two current flight parameters chosen from the group comprising: a current speed of the first aircraft; a current altitude of the first aircraft; and a current attitude of the first aircraft (fig. 9; page 4, [0147]; page 8, [0220]).

Regarding claims 8, 20, Matos discloses wherein the information relating to current flight parameters of the hostile aircraft is derived from a radar system of the first aircraft (page 8, [0216]).

Regarding claims 9, 21, Matos discloses wherein the information relating to current flight parameters of the hostile aircraft is retrieved via a data communication system from a source remote from the first aircraft (fig. 1-fig. 9; page 4, [0147]; page 8, [0219-0220]).

Regarding claims 10-11, 22-23, Matos discloses wherein the information relating to current flight parameters of the hostile aircraft includes at least a current speed (page 8, [0224]).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Berstis et al. (US 6,348,877) discloses method and system for alerting a pilot to the location of other aircraft.

Watson (US 6,512,975) discloses traffic information service (TIS) uplink own aircraft heading correction.

McKinney (US 5,554,990) discloses airspace management system and method.
Barnett (US 5,416,705) discloses method and apparatus for use of alphanumeric display as data entry scratchpad.

Langner et al. (US 6,842,122) discloses customizable cockpit display systems and methods of customizing the presentation of cockpit data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL PREVIL whose telephone number is (571)272-2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DP

December 7, 2010.

/Daniel Previl/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612